BEFORE THE 1 POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD STATE OF WASHINGTON 2 IN THE MATTER OF 3 NORTHWEST RUBBER COMPOUNDERS, 4 PCHB No. 80-57 Appellant, 5 FINAL FINDINGS OF FACT, v. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW 6 SOUTHWEST AIR POLLUTION AND ORDER 7 CONTROL AUTHORITY, Respondent. 8 9

This matter, the appeal for the issuance of a \$50 civil penalty for the alleged violation relating to Southwest Air Pollution Control Authority (SWAPCA) Regulation I, having come on regularly for formal hearing on September 24, 1980, at Centralia, Washington. Present were Board members Nat W. Washington (presiding), and David Akana.

Appellant was represented by its plant manager, G. A. Simmons; respondent was represented by its attorney, James D. Ladley.

Having heard the testimony, having examined the exhibits, and having considered the contentions of the parties, the Board makes these

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

FINDINGS OF FACT

Ι

Respondent, pursuant to RCW 43.21B.260 has filed with the Board a certified copy of its General Regulations for Air Pollution Sources.

ΙI

On February 9, 1980, respondent's field enforcement officer was attracted to the specific area of appellant's plant by gray smoke which the enforcement officer estimated to be of an opacity of about Investigation disclosed that the fire was on property of the 40%. The fire was contained in an open concrete-walled appellant. The remains of a fire covered an area of about 10 feet by enclosure. 10 feet and a fire was still smoldering in an area of about 3 feet by The enforcement officer saw that the fire, among other things, had contained rubber and plastic material, which are prohibited materials under RCW 70.94.775 and respondent's regulation 400-035(2)(v). The enforcement officer served a field notice of violation of Section 400-035 on the manager of the appellants company's plant.

FINAL FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW & ORDER

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

^{1.} The following are pertinent parts of Section 400-035

No person shall ignite, cause to be ignited, permit to be ignited, or suffer, allow or maintain any open fire within the jurisdiction of the Authority, except as provided in this Regulation.

⁽¹⁾ Fires set only for recreational purposes or cooking of food for human consumption are excepted from provisions of this regulation provided no nuisance is created.

⁽²⁾ Open burning may be done under permit:

12

14

15

11

16

17 18

19

2021

22

23

24

25

-0

FINAL FINDINGS OF FACT, 27 CONCLUSIONS OF LAW & ORDER

There was no direct testimony from the employee of the appellant company who actually set the fire but the plant manager testified and frankly admitted that the fire in question had in fact been set by the company in the 10' by 12' feet concrete walled area which had been specially built to burn wood. There was no positive testimony that the fire did not contain rubber and plastic but the manager did state that after the enforcement officer left he inspected the remains of the fire, which at that time was barely smoking, and did not notice any evidence of rubber. He very candidly admitted however that he did not dig down into the remains of the fire to see if any evidence of rubber was present. He testified that it was not the company's practice to burn rubber or plastic compounds, that if any rubber was

1. Cont.

- (a) Burning permits may be provided by the local fire department, fire district or Washington State Department of Natural Resources.
- (b) No permit shall be issued unless the Control Officer is satisfied that:
 - (i) No practical alternate method is available for the disposal of the material to be burned. (The Authority has a written Open Outdoor Fire Policy describing times, areas and kinds of permitted open fires)

. . .

(v) No material containing asphalt, petroleum products, paints, rubber products, plastic or any substance which normally emits dense smoke or obnoxious odors will be burned. in the area for burning it got there accidentally, and that if there was any rubber or plastic in the fire "it was not with knowledge or authority." When asked directly if there was anything besides wood in the fire his answer was, "not to my knowledge." This answer did not constitute a denial of the presence of other material in the fire.

The chief defense offered by the manager was that he sincerely believed the company had received valid permission from the Department of Natural Resources. His testimony was that the permission was oral and had been received "quite some time ago." He further testified that the company had no written burning permit from anyone.

The manager was cooperative and extinguished the fire after being requested to do so.

IV

In view of the inspector's positive and definite testimony that he saw rubber and plastic material in the fire, as distinguished from the much less positive testimony of the plant manager, we find that the fire did in fact contain rubber and plastic, as well as wood.

V

We find that on February 29, 1980, an employee of the appellant who was not identified at the hearing knowingly caused an open fire; that in addition to wood the fire contained rubber and plastic; and that no permit for the fire had been secured from (SWAPCA) or any other authorized agency.

VI

Any Conclusion of Law which should be deemed a Finding of Fact is hereby adopted as such.

1	From these Findings the Board comes to these
2	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
3	I
4	The action of the employee in setting the fire was the action of
5	the appellant company.
6	II
7	The setting of the open fire, containing rubber and plastics, was
8	a violation of section 400-035 of respondent's General Regulations of
9	Air Pollution Sources.
10	III
11	The securing of verbal permission from the Department of Natural
12	Resources did not constitute the securing a permit within the meaning
3	of section 400-035.
14	IV
15	Any Finding of Fact which should be deemed a Conclusion of Law is
16	hereby adopted as such.
17	From these Conclusions the Board enters this
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
J	FINAL FINDINGS OF FACT,
27	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW & ORDER -5-

S F No 9928-A

1	ORDER
2	The \$50 civil penalty is affirmed.
3	DONE this day of January, 1981.
4	POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD
5	
6	May & Washington
7	NAT W. WASHINGTON, Chairman
8	David alam
9	DAVID AKANA, Member
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

S F No 9928-A

FINAL FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW & ORDER